

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

May 22, 2013

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1861 FACSIMILE (213) 229-9924 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

PATRICK A. WU

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda County Claims Board Recommendation

Tatiana Lopez and Miguel Amarillas v. County of

Los Angeles, et al.

United States District Court Case No. CV 10-8926

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

PAW:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Tatiana Lopez and Miguel Amarillas v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>, United States District Court Case No. CV 10-8926, in the amount of \$550,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department's budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of violation of civil rights, false arrest, and malicious prosecution by Sheriff's Deputies.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Tatiana Lopez and Miguel

Amarillas vs. County of Los

Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

CV 10-8926

COURT

United States District Court

DATE FILED

November 19, 2011

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Sheriff's Deparment

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

550,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Thomas E. Beck, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Millicent L. Rolon

NATURE OF CASE

Plaintiffs Tatiana Lopez and Miguel Amarillas, allege that their federal civil rights were violated when the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department unlawfully detained, arrested, and prosecuted them.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$550,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 288,651

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 33,904

Case Name: Tatiana Lopez and Miquel Amarilias v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Wednesday, October 7, 2009, approximately 7:30 p.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event	Tatiana Lopez and Miguel Amarillas v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2012-046
	On Wednesday, October 7, 2009, at approximately 7:30 p.m., a Los Angeles County deputy sheriff arrested the plaintiffs for a violation of California Health and Safety Code section 11378, Posession of a Controlled Substance for Sale, and California Health and Safety Code section 11550, Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance.
	Criminal charges against both plaintiffs were ultimately dismissed.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs allege they were unlawfully detained and subjected to false arrest and malicious prosecution by members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect at the time of the incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addresses the circumstances which occurred in the Incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department submitted the facts in the case to representatives of the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office. On July 17, 2012, one count of California Penal Code section 118.1, False Report, and one count of California Penal Code section 118a, False Affidavit as to Testimony as Perjury, were filed against one member of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department also initiated an internal administrative investigation to determine the extent to which one or more members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department engaged in misconduct.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system issues?			
☐ Yes – The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.			
☑ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.			
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department			
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)			
Shaun J. Mathers, Captain Risk Management Bureau			
Signature:	Date: 4/23/13		
Name: (Department Head)			
Glen Dragovich, Division Director Administrative Services and Training Division			
Signature: .	Date:		
Mer Para	4/24/13		
Chief Executive Office Rick Management inspector General USE Of Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the Co			
Q Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide app.			
No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department	BCIL		
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)			
GO COSTANTINO			
Signature:	Date: 425-13		